



Why Support Services Need Their Own Quality Standards

AMSI SAM1

Revision 2.0 - September 2026

What AMSI Means by “Standards”

SUPPORT SERVICE QUALITY INITIATIVE

Introduction

Support services matter because they affect real life. They may help a person remain safe, communicate, work, participate in the community, move through daily life, or perform activities that would otherwise be difficult or impossible.

Many systems already shape these services. Laws protect rights; programs determine eligibility; funding rules authorize payment; employers organize work; professional guidance supports practice; and individual plans describe needs, preferences, and goals. Each system answers important questions, but none automatically establishes the quality of the support service as a whole.

This article begins with one practical question: did the support service actually help the person in the way it was intended to? The question is simple, but it is not answered merely because a service was authorized, scheduled, funded, completed, documented, or found administratively compliant.

A completed activity shows that something occurred. It does not by itself show that the person received the intended help, protection, choice, dignity, participation, or practical result. A bathing task may be recorded while the person felt unsafe; a mobility activity may be completed while movement under real conditions remains difficult; or a workplace visit may occur while the worker still lacks the support needed to remain employed.

The Support Service Quality Initiative (AMSI) begins with this distinction between activity and the realized support result. It considers the defined Support Service Quality Object, its Support Intended Function(s) and Support Intended Result(s), the Support Work actually performed, the conditions and interfaces through which the service is realized, the Evidence available, Evidence Quality, and the limits of any Quality State determination or Quality Claim.

For readers approaching AMSI for the first time, this publication is the introductory entry point. It explains why support services need their own quality standards and what AMSI means by the word “standards.” In this context, a standard is a voluntary shared reference for examining support-service quality clearly, consistently, and responsibly—not a law, license, funding rule, clinical instruction, or automatic certification scheme.

The discussion is intended for people receiving support and their families, practitioners, service organizations, researchers, educators, funders, and policymakers. Its purpose is to provide a common starting question and a disciplined path toward the AMSI applied vocabulary, the Support Service Quality Standard - Core (AMSI_SSC1), Context Guides, occupational reference, Evidence evaluation, Quality State determination, and bounded Quality Claims.

Table of Contents

Introduction 2

Table of Contents 2

1. Start with the Person and the Support..... 3

2. Completed Activity Is Not the Same as Successful Support 3

 2.1 Bathing Example 3

 2.2 Mobility Example 3

 2.3 Vocational Support Example 3

3. Why Existing Systems Do Not Answer the Same Question 3

4. What AMSI Means by a Quality Standard 4

5. The Support Service Is Considered as a Whole	4
6. Why Support Services Need Their Own Quality Standards	4
7. Evidence Matters	4
8. A Quality Conclusion Does Not Have to Be Favorable.....	5
9. Where to Continue.....	5
Copyright and Use Notice	6

1. Start with the Person and the Support

A support service exists for a reason. It is intended to help a particular person achieve or maintain something important in real life.

The service may involve personal care, vocational support, community participation, communication, mobility, supervision, skill development, or another form of assistance.

Before judging quality, the first questions are therefore simple: Who is receiving support? What support is needed? What is the service expected to help the person achieve or maintain?

2. Completed Activity Is Not the Same as Successful Support

A completed activity is evidence that something happened. It is not automatic proof that the intended support was achieved.

2.1 Bathing Example

A bathing task may be completed, but the person may have felt unsafe, rushed, uncomfortable, or unable to express a preference. The activity occurred, but the quality question remains open.

2.2 Mobility Example

A mobility task may be recorded, but the person may still be unable to move safely through the home or community under the conditions that actually exist.

2.3 Vocational Support Example

A job coach may complete a workplace visit, but the worker may still lack the support needed to perform the job, participate effectively, or remain employed.

For AMSI, the quality question therefore includes both what was done and what actually happened for the person as a result.

3. Why Existing Systems Do Not Answer the Same Question

Support services are influenced by many different systems. Each has an important purpose, but their purposes are not identical.

- Laws and regulations establish rights, duties, protections, and legal requirements.
- Programs and funding systems determine eligibility, authorization, payment, and administrative conditions.
- Individual plans identify needs, goals, preferences, and expected supports.
- Employers and service providers organize people, responsibilities, schedules, supervision, and work.
- Professional standards and guidance support competent and responsible practice.

- Records and audits show whether defined activities, requirements, or administrative processes occurred.

These sources can provide important evidence. But none of them automatically answers the complete quality question: Did the support service actually work for the person as intended?

4. What AMSI Means by a Quality Standard

An AMSI standard is a voluntary shared reference for examining support-service quality in a clear, consistent, and responsible way.

It helps the reader connect practical questions:

- What support was expected?
- What work was actually performed?
- What result occurred?
- What conditions affected the service?
- What evidence supports the conclusion?
- What can responsibly be said about the quality of the service?

AMSI standards are not laws, licenses, funding rules, clinical instructions, or automatic certification schemes. They do not replace professional judgment, individual plans, employer responsibilities, program requirements, or legal duties.

5. The Support Service Is Considered as a Whole

A support service is more than one task or one worker.

It brings together the person receiving support, the people providing support, the work performed, the result, the service period, responsibilities, conditions, context, and available evidence.

In AMSI, this complete defined service is treated as the Support-Service Quality Object.

The formal term expresses a simple idea: before judging quality, everyone should be clear about what service, person, period, conditions, and result are actually being examined.

6. Why Support Services Need Their Own Quality Standards

Support services are different from ordinary products. They are realized through people, relationships, judgment, communication, changing needs, and real-life conditions.

The same activity may work well for one person and poorly for another. The same plan may produce different results in a home, workplace, school, program, or community setting.

Because of this variation, support-service quality cannot be reduced to a checklist, satisfaction score, billing record, completed task, or absence of a complaint.

It must be understood in relation to the person, the intended support, the way the service was provided, the conditions in which it occurred, and the result that actually happened.

7. Evidence Matters

A responsible Quality State determination or Quality Claim must be supported by Evidence.

Evidence may include direct observation, the person's experience and communication, records, work results, schedules, plans, assessments, incident information, worker observations, third-party information, and other relevant sources.

The important question is not simply whether paperwork exists. The question is whether the available Evidence is sufficient, trustworthy, and applicable enough to support the finding or conclusion being made.

Missing, conflicting, or uncertain information should remain visible as part of Evidence Quality and uncertainty. It should not automatically be treated as proof that the service was satisfactory.

8. A Quality Conclusion Does Not Have to Be Favorable

A responsible Quality State determination may be favorable, adverse, mixed, limited, or still uncertain, depending on the Evidence and the defined Quality Object.

AMSI does not require a positive answer. It requires an evidence-supported determination and any resulting Quality Claim to remain bounded to the Support Service Quality Object actually examined, including its service period, context, Boundaries, Interfaces, Applicable Basis, Evidence, Evidence Quality, and material uncertainty.

Good intentions, completed paperwork, authorized hours, or one favorable sign do not by themselves establish the quality of the whole service.

9. Where to Continue

This article is the starting point. Readers do not need to learn the entire methodology before understanding the central idea.

A simple reading path is:

1. Use this article to understand why support-service quality needs its own system of examination.
2. Use the Support-Service Quality Vocabulary when the governing terms need clarification.
3. Use Whole Quality in Support Services for the broader support-service framework.
4. Use the Occupational Reference Framework when work, occupations, roles, and responsibilities need to be understood.
5. **Use AMSI_SSC1 - Support Service Quality Standard - Core for the invariant Core Quality Factors and Core Quality Indicators used across AMSI support-service Context Guides.**
6. **Use the applicable AMSI Context Guide, such as PCA1 or VRJ1, for context-specific interpretation, Quality Outcome Criteria, Evidence, Failure Modes, Critical Service Conditions, and other context-specific application of SSC1.**
7. When the quality of the management used to realize support is itself the Quality Object, use AMSI_QMS1 - Management System Quality Standard - Core as the separate management-system architecture.

The central idea remains simple:

Support-service quality should be judged by whether the service truly worked for the person, not simply by whether an activity was authorized, completed, funded, or recorded.

Copyright and Use Notice

Copyright © Support Service Quality Initiative (AMSI), 2026. All rights reserved.

This publication, Why Support Services Need Their Own Quality Standards - AMSI SAM1, Revision 2.0, is an introductory publication of the Support Service Quality Initiative aligned with the September 2026 AMSI architecture.

It may be used, reproduced, and distributed for informational, educational, research, and non-commercial professional discussion purposes, provided that AMSI is clearly identified as the source and the text is not materially altered in meaning or context.

This publication does not constitute a law, regulation, service-delivery requirement, professional standard of practice, clinical guideline, certification scheme, audit protocol, funding requirement, contractual obligation, or legal opinion.

Use of this publication does not imply AMSI or WQI certification, approval, endorsement, accreditation, legal compliance, funding authorization, or service approval.